

Directorate of Government Schools Reviews

Short Review Report

Samaheej Primary Intermediate Boys School Samaheej - Muharraq Governorate Kingdom of Bahrain

> Date of Review: 23-25 December 2013 SG169-C2-R144

Table of Contents

Directorate of Government Schools Reviews	1
Introduction	2
Characteristics of the school	2
Table of review judgements awarded	3
Review judgements	4
Overall effectiveness	4
The school's main strengths	5
Recommendations	6

© Copyright National Authority for Qualifications and Quality Assurance of Education and Training - Bahrain 2014

Directorate of Government Schools Reviews

The Directorate of Government Schools Reviews (DGS) is part of the National Authority for Qualifications and Quality Assurance of Education and Training (QQA) that was officially set up in 2008 as an independent body governed and supervised by the Council of Ministers. It is established to raise standards in education and training.

The DGS is responsible for:

- evaluating and reporting on the quality of provision in all government schools
- establishing success measures
- spreading best practice
- making recommendations for government school improvements.

Review involves monitoring standards and evaluating the quality of provision against a clear set of indicators. The reviews are independent, objective and transparent. They provide important information for government schools about their strengths and areas for improvement to assist in focusing efforts and resources as part of the cycle of school improvement in order to raise standards.

Grade description	Interpretation						
Outstanding (1)	Outcomes or provision are at least good in all areas and outstanding in the majority.						
Good (2)	Outcomes or provision are at least satisfactory in all areas and good in the majority.						
Satisfactory (3)	A basic level of adequacy. There are no major weaknesses, or the majority of areas are satisfactory. Some areas may be good.						
Inadequate (4)	There are major weaknesses or the majority of areas are inadequate.						

Review grades are awarded a four-point scale:

Introduction

This review was conducted over three days by a team of eight reviewers. During the review, reviewers observed lessons and other activities, examined students' written and other work, analysed data concerning the school's performance and other documents, and talked with staff, students and parents. This report summarises their findings and recommendations.

School's name		Samaheej Primary Intermediate Boys School												
School's type														
Year of establishment 1951														
Age range of students 11–15 years														
Grades (e.g. 1 to 12)		Primary					Middle				High			
		5–6					7-9				-			
Number of students		Boys 619 G			rls	ls -				Total 619				
Students' social bac	ckground		Mo	st stud	lents	come	from	ı mid	dle-i	incon	ome families			
	Grade	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Classes per grade	Classes	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	
Town /Village	Samaheej													
Governorate	Muharraq													
Number of administrative staff			9											
Number of teaching staff			43											
Curriculum			Ministry of Education (MoE)											
Main language(s) of instruction Arabic														
Principal's tenure		One Semester												
External assess examinations	nent and	MoE examinations and QQA national examinations.												
Accreditation (if ap	plicable)						-							
Number of students in the following categories according		Outstanding			-	Gifted & Talented		Physical Disabilitie			Learning Difficultie		U	
to the school's classification		251				17			-			37		
		• Changes in 2013-2014:												
Major recent chai school	 appointing a principal and an assistant principal constructing a new academic building and multi- purpose hall. 													

Characteristics of the school

Table of review judgements awarded

Aspect	Grade: Description						
The school's overall effectiveness	4: Inadequate						
The school's capacity to improve	4: Inadequate						
	Elementary / Primary	Middle / Intermediate	High / Secondary	Overall			
Students' academic achievement	4	4	-	4			
Students' personal development	4	4	-	4			
The quality and effectiveness of teaching and learning	4	4	-	4			
The quality of the curriculum implementation	4	4	-	4			
The quality of support and guidance for students	4	4	-	4			
The quality and effectiveness of leadership, management and governance	4	4	-	4			

Key:

- 1: Outstanding
- 2: Good
- 3: Satisfactory
- 4: Inadequate

Overall effectiveness

□ How effective is the school in meeting the needs of students and their parents?

Grade: 4 Inadequate

Overall effectiveness is inadequate, matching the previous judgement in March 2010, despite two monitoring visits where it obtained sufficient progress in the second. Students' achievement is inadequate in one third of lessons, with a lack of motivation, inconsistency in teaching strategies, poor classroom management, and ineffective assessment techniques and activities to meet students' educational needs or provide special needs students with the assistance required, especially low-achievers. This negatively impacts students' acquisition of basic skills, especially in English and mathematics. Management's attempts to enhance teachers' professionalism are insufficient. Students' participation and their attitudes are unacceptable in some lessons, despite guidance programmes and communication with parents in a way that gains their satisfaction. The school's environment is unsafe, with constant water leakage in its grounds which requires urgent external intervention.

□ How strong is the school's capacity to improve?

Grade: 4 Inadequate

The school's capacity to develop and improve is inadequate, matching its judgement in the previous review. Concerns include inaccurate employment of self-evaluation results, inefficiency in implementing the strategic plan, and poor monitoring of the impact of professional development programmes on teachers' performance. The school does build good relationships with the administrative and academic staff, delegating authority and attempting to create a motivational environment. Efforts to achieve school work priorities, especially those regarding learning and teaching, raising students' academic achievement and promoting personal development are still inadequate. This is attributed to the students' lack of enthusiasm and motivation towards learning, low achievement and the shortage in middle leadership, particularly in the English language department.

The school's main strengths

- The social counselling and management roles in solving students' problems and meeting their personal needs
- Communication with parents and keeping them informed of their children's academic and personal progress.

Recommendations

In order to improve, the school should:

- obtain urgent external intervention to take immediate action regarding the school's premises, in order to ensure its members' safety
- raise students' academic achievement in all core subjects, especially in English and mathematics
- develop learning and teaching strategies, to include:
 - utilizing assessment for learning
 - ⁻ supporting different groups of students, especially low-achievers
 - ⁻ effective class management to achieve the highest level of productivity
 - ⁻ developing students' self-confidence and their responsible behaviour and attitudes in lessons.
- make accurate use of self-evaluation results and implement the strategic plan to achieve improvement in the school's overall performance
- monitor the impact of professional development programmes on teachers' performance in order to improve the students' academic and personal achievement.